









### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/195,080	11/18/1998	KEIKO ABE	FUJA-15.646	3931	
263,04 7.	590 07/30/2002				
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN			EXAMINER		
575 MADISON NEW YORK, 1	NAVENUE NY 10022-2585	·	HARPER, KEVIN C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2664		
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2002	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	09/195,080		ABE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	<u> </u>	Art Unit					
	Kevin C. Harper		2664					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 h	<u>//ay 2002</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-fin	al.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>								
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	•							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 November 1998</u> is/ar	e: a)⊡ accepted o	r b)☐ objected to	by the Examine	r.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been receiv	/ed.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 1		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/195,080

Art Unit: 2664

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 15, 2002, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., dynamically formed shortcuts instead of predetermined dedicated VPI/VCI cut-through paths, or having no supplementary messaging to update short-cut lists) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 09/195,080

Page 3

Art Unit: 2664

Claims 1-2, 4-6 and 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Katsube et al. (US 6,185,213).

- 2. Regarding claims 1, 5, 9 and 12, Katsube discloses a packet transfer apparatus (Figure 1) for switching and transferring a cell signal among a first node (Figure 2, item 211), a second node (items 221 or 222) and a routing device (Figure 1, item 101) which determines an outgoing route for the cell signal according to destination data contained in the cell signal (col. 5, lines 58-87). The packet transfer apparatus comprises a switch (Figure 2, item 102) for making a connection path among the nodes and routing device, a memory (item 1012) for storing outgoing route data, and a shortcut controller (items 101 and 102) for monitoring the outgoing route data and checking an input cell signal to see if the outgoing route data is equal to the outgoing route data stored in the memory (col. 6, lines 1-18). If there is a match, then a shortcut is formed through the switch for transferring a cell signal between the first node and the second node (col. 6, lines 13-18). Further regarding claims 9 and 12, the switches may be frame relay switches (col. 3, lines 1-3).
- 3. Regarding claims 2, 6, 10 and 13, the first and second nodes and the packet transfer apparatus form an ATM network or a frame relay network (col. 7, lines 9-13; col. 3, lines 1-3).
- 4. Regarding claims 4, 8, 11 and 14, the output route data includes a destination address and an outgoing port number (Figures 3, 5 and 8-10; col. 8, lines 23-29).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary

Application/Control Number: 09/195,080

Art Unit: 2664

skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsube et al. (US 6,185,213).

5. Regarding claim 3 and 7, Katsube discloses transmitting Ethernet information over an ATM network (col. 7, lines 20-22). However, Katsube does not disclose using AAL5. One skilled in the art would recognize that AAL5 is typically used to encapsulate network data originating from a non-ATM network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use AAL5 in the invention of Katsube in order to accommodate variable-rate and delay-tolerant data traffic (such as IP traffic transmitted over Ethernet).

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hodgkinson et al. (US 6,317,431), Ise et al. (US 6,336,129), Acharya et al. (US 6,343,326), Han (US 6,351,465), Matsuzawa et al. (US 6,389,023), and Nagami et al. (US 20001/0056490) each discloses shortcut switching.

Page 4

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 703-305-0139. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays, except Wednesday, from 9:00 AM to 7:30 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin, can be reached at 703-305-4366. The fax number for Technology Center (TC) 2600 is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Customer Service Office for TC 2600 at 703-306-0377.

26, 2002

**KWANG BIN YAO** PRIMARY EXAMINES

w/2 V/